

COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense®

LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM

© Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

"The truth,
the whole truth,
and nothing
but the truth
... without fear
or favor"

Conde McGinley
1900 • FOUNDER • 1968

Issue No. 529, December 15, 1968

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, N.J.

Subscription \$3.00 per year

OMNIBUS CRIME CONTROL ACT A MISNOMER

Cleverly Disguised Instrument for Federalization of Law Enforcement Would Make Mockery of Local Law

By GEORGE W. KELL

The "Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968" (P.L. 90-351) is in reality a "Police Control and Unsafe Streets Act." A wolf in sheep's clothing, this statute is a cleverly disguised instrument for federalization of law enforcement. One must analyze it in the light of history and communist strategy in order to fully appreciate its sinister implications.

First: There is a \$400,000,000 carrot for fiscal years 1968, '69, '70, which is to be rationed out to the states if they apply for it. (Sec. 520). This necessarily means that \$400,000,000 in tax revenue will be removed from the 50 states by the Federal tax people, only to be run through federal books and returned back to the states with certain strings attached. Of course the states have the power to raise their own revenues for police purposes . . . so one is entitled to question why the federal government sees fit to intrude into local law enforcement at all.

Second: The federal administration makes grants to the states out of these funds, but only if a state has submitted a "comprehensive state plan approved by" the feds. Hence, if a state fails to apply it won't get any of that big carrot, even though its citizens contributed towards it out of their individual income taxes. More important, if a state fails to submit a plan that is pleasing to the feds it stands to lose out just as though it hadn't applied. Finally, the act provides that the states must submit a new plan each year. (Sec. 303). This, of course, provides for a creeping federalism at a pace never before encountered in comparable statutes. Even F.H.A. required 20 years to power itself up to the point where it is today.

Third: "Crime prevention councils" is a term never heretofore found anywhere in American jurisprudence. The powers, qualifications and identities of these mysterious councilmen are not spelled out, except to say that they "shall be knowledgeable in the prevention and control of organized crime." (Sec. 601). This means practically anyone, including a Capone, could become a councilman. The act does provide that the councils "shall be established pursuant to state law . . . or by the chief executive of the State"—which is another way of saying that the states will have to define qualifications, membership,

(Continued on Page 2, Column 1)



A CHRISTMAS THOUGHT

Oh, Holy Babe of Bethlehem
Who came here long ago
And brought us Life in place of Death
And Joy instead of Woe.
Bring Peace, we pray, to this Old World
And banish from it Strife
That we may ever turn to Thee
Who art the Truth and Life.
Then shall this Christmas fill our souls
With Joy as great as when
The Angels sang their Heavenly song
Of Peace, Goodwill to Men.

—Melville Clemens Barnard

WHY NOT A BOUNTY ON CONGRESSMEN?

Republished from the San Francisco Chronicle,
July 10, 1961 . . . But It Might Well Have
Been Written Yesterday . . .

By LUCIUS BEEBE

Whether or not the average taxpayer in the bounteous commonwealth in which we live by the skin of our teeth knows it, every American is today working overtime to support, feed, clothe and doctor six non-American, non-taxpaying, hostile, fawning, cadging, treacherous, and worthless people in some foreign country to which American funds are sluiced in an unabated torrent by Washington politicians, who are also living pretty high on the hog at the expense of the rest of us. Because of the inequity in our tax structure, the able, thrifty, hard-working American taxpayer is supporting anywhere up to a regiment of foreign mendicants, and our own shiftless element is probably supporting far less than the average six alien dependents, but it figures out that each of us, in addition to his own domestic obligations, is paying the freight for half a dozen ineffectuals in foreign lands, many of them in the hands of governments overtly and explicitly hostile to the United States and the Free World.

The statistic is simply a component of the fact that, through the agency of foreign aid, the American Government is keeping alive six times the population of the United States itself.

Just what we get in return for this limitless handout of our national resources and personal possessions, nobody has ever yet been able to demonstrate. The universal feedbag has been supported now by the United States taxpayer, often with the greatest reluctance on his part but with unbridled enthusiasm in Washington, since the closing years of the 1941 war. Scores of our best minds, applying themselves 24 hours a day to the problem of wasting American resources, have asserted until the rest of us are blue in the face that these miserable "underdeveloped" countries are America's bounden responsibility, a sort of continuum of the White Man's burden in the Kipling poem.

(Continued on Page 2, Column 3)

MERRY CHRISTMAS from the Staff of Common Sense

Omnibus Crime Control Act

(Continued from Page 1, Column 1)

and powers of the councilmen in such manner as the feds may dictate, or their state plans won't be approved. Ultimately, then, the feds will control the councils — not the states. Of course the extent of control that the states are willing to submit to depends entirely upon their relative bargaining positions.

More important than the ominous reach inherent in this legislation is the question of just why a council is needed at all. Since each state has a Governor and Attorney General, who are charged with the oversight of state law enforcement matters, why is it seen necessary to bypass them with this undefined and potentially totalitarian tool? Obviously the council is going to assume some of the law enforcement powers of the Governor or Attorney General — even though the statute doesn't say so — otherwise what would be the purpose of the council?

In Soviet slave states numerous councils are instituted which substitute for elected representation by giving the appearance of grass roots participation while in reality the council is entirely dominated from above by the ruling clique. This is the potential of the "crime prevention councils" referred to in this statute. There is absolutely nothing in the legislation that would prevent it from happening!

Fourth: "Community service officers" presumably will serve in a liaison capacity between the police and the public. In large cities they spend much of their time trying to placate the strident communist fronts who pose as representatives of minority groups. The Rap Browns, and the Stokely Carmichaels who demand police review boards to control "police brutality," while creating the riots and incidents of violence which constitute their pretext for these false charges are now well known to everyone. Not so well known is the fact that these same types have been demanding that the community service officers ought to be sociologists or psychologists rather than qualified police officers who know the facts of law enforcement. The statute, incredibly, provides the reds with the groundwork for infiltrating police departments with the people they want. Sec. 601 k provides that the CSO will not need to be a qualified cop — but shall have "such other qualifications as (shall be) promulgated in regulations" by the feds.

With a U.S. Attorney General who could go so far towards placating the rioters as to order District of Columbia police not to shoot at looters, (although local law clearly entitled them to do so) can anyone doubt that the reds will have "their man" in these sensitive positions within 18 months at the latest?

Fifth: "Grievance resolution mechanisms" is also a term new to our system of jurisprudence. In fact, it is meaningless as a legal term. It could mean a court, administrative tribunal or perhaps a "board." Now if the draftsman of this statute meant to say "court" he would have said so. The only likely effect that can be given to this term is that it is an euphemism for "police review board." Now it goes without saying that if the latter term had been used the police of this nation would have been up in arms. Not even the States have seen fit to give legislative recognition to this commie front demand. But here, in a federal statute, the possibility of this sinister police control device is recognized. And the statute stipulates that one of the objectives of the community service officers shall be to work for the improvement of police review boards — it is actually presupposed that the boards already exist. With provisions like this, communists will force local police to accept police review boards or meet rioters in the streets if they refuse.

Sec. 305 of the act will assist the commies for here it is provided that even though the states do not apply, local police agencies may be superseded by police departments sufficiently harassed to submit to the conditions imposed by the bureaucrats in Washington. When the squeeze is on tight, and it's only beginning, local chiefs will fold one by one in



search of any alternative to the immediate chaos. Where, then, will be the state law enforcement structure provided by the state constitution?

Sixth: "Community patrol activities" can only refer to the "patrols" set up by the Black Panthers and their ilk, which follow along behind police cars and harass the officers whenever they stop to make an investigation or arrest. This part of the statute is speaking of community — not police — activities, so there is no room to argue that this is a police patrol. Hence the statute again gives tacit approval to a police harassment device. Indeed, a close reading of the statute indicates that the community patrol is going to be one of the activities that the C.S.O. will treat as his very own. Hence, if the commies have the right man in as their C.S.O., they could insist that he has the right to direct the community patrol.

Putting all of the forgoing together, we have the structure provided by which bureaucrats in Washington can insist upon more and more federal control in the state plans to be approved by them, while at the bottom of the law enforcement pyramid the reds create the economic and departmental chaos by which the local police will be driven, through desperation, to apply directly to Washington for aid on any terms at all. At the same time, we have seen that the act itself is highly suspect as a possible tool to aid the communists, who must take over law enforcement in order to achieve the bloodless revolution in this country that they have bragged they will create.

Tie this in with gun registration — and after that federalized cops who come around and pick up every registered firearm because they know where they are. Khrushchev's boast "We will bury you" will have become a reality.

Anyone who is interested in law enforcement and causes of crime should also be concerned about certain apparently unrelated matters which indicate a vast deficiency, to say the least, in top levels of our government: (1) Did you know that out of a total of 2270 pages of Presidential Crime Commission reports not one thing is said about communism as a cause of crime in the U.S.? In fact, the word "communism" doesn't even exist in any of the indexes to these reports. (2) Did you know that the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders specifically "found" as an alleged fact that there was "no evidence that all or any of the disorders or the incidents that led to them were planned or directed by any organization or group, international, national or local?"

Apparently these people didn't have the word "communism" in their vocabulary either — the word isn't found in their index — and they spent exactly 2 pages of a 600 page report analyzing the possibility of commie influences, concluding with the delicate expression just quoted. (3) One ought to question whether it is possible for the President or the Attorney General to so grievously err that in one week the President could say that 6,000 people die annually from gunshot wounds whereas the Attorney General raised the figure up to 13,000 just 2 weeks later. (4) Where the President has admitted that Justice Fortas helped him in the preparation of certain legislation ought he not to be asked whether the Omnibus Police Control Act was one of the matters in question? (5) If Justice Fortas did indeed assist the President with this statute — then hadn't we better have another look at those alleged Communist connections of his — and of his wife's?

Why Not a Bounty on Congressmen?

(Continued from Page 1, Column 3)

The only person who ought to be consulted in the matter, and has never yet been asked to voice any opinion, is the American taxpayer who foots the bill for blackmail which a posse of unmitigated spendthrifts and scoundrels in the public employ in Washington says is necessary to our national survival.

For all the hundreds of billions of dollars that should have been sequestered during the past 15 years within the United States and earmarked for the improvement of our own lot or for defense against aggression, America has never received a single muttered syllable of thanks, been the recipient of a single breath of civility, or purchased a discernible trace of friendship, loyalty or devotion.

Quite the reverse: the countries on whom we have showered such largesse as is unimaginable in their own wretched economy, have one and all, and without exception, shoveled most of their take into the hands of Russia or spent it on propaganda of hatred against the United States. Wherever American money has been lavished in an attempt to better the fortunes of foreign peoples, the very presence of our charity has been used by local demagogues to incite a worthless rabble of American beneficiaries to hatred of America and to finance closer ties with the Soviet government.

What kind of saps are the American people to put up with this shoving around and continuous, profitless and outrageous pillage?

Why is any Senator or Representative who has ever voted a dime for Foreign Aid permitted to come to his home state or town without fear of physical violence to himself and his family?

In the Nineteenth Century when Americans had some idea of the value of their national face and their national dollar, a Senator who ventured on such a course of treason to his constituents would have found his house burned over his head and a pot of tar waiting for his person.

Who has conned the American sucker into this obscene fix by whose terms he is paying ceaseless blackmail to the enemy through the agency of professional fixers and middlemen in the Senate Office Building in Washington?

As in any shabby deal involving criminal activities, the whole business of Foreign Aid is engineered and manipulated in Washington by corrupt and faithless lackeys, who are only a slight cut above the blackmailers in other lands, who are living abundantly off the American taxpayers. The money couldn't be expedited on its wasteful way without the connivance of totally irresponsible elements in the American Government.

If every penny that has been poured down the rat hole of Foreign Aid in the past decade and a half and every foreseeable penny which the present psychopaths in high office plan to waste in the same way were diverted to the national armament and defense, the United States wouldn't have to give a moment's thought to whether it was liked and admired in the Congo. The answer is we are hated and despised anyway and there is no use in paying a premium price for unpopularity.

The happiest day that might be foreseen for the American taxpayer is that on which his miserable representatives in government begin to live in physical fear for their lives and persons and give some consideration to the constituency for whom their contempt is commensurate with their availability to looting. An American Congressman fleeing from a mob of taxpayers while his house burned would be the heartening sight of a lifetime. An election every four years isn't as effectual as would be the assassination of a legislator every four minutes, because the enemy isn't only in Moscow. He's much nearer at home.

LOOKING TOWARD 1969 WITH HOPE

As we stand on the threshold of that glorious time of the year, Christmas, we take time out for a word of greeting and heartfelt thanks to each and every one of our readers who stood fast with us over the years. Without the sacrifices of those hardcore valiant patriots, COMMON SENSE simply could not exist.

The daily trials, harassment, aggravation, intimidation, boycott — the inevitable portion of those who carry on an endeavor such as ours, may seem unbelievable to those who have not lived with this conspiracy. This is the one time of the year when we make reference to our mounting problems and the chronic absence of adequate finances.

Our business is practically all detail, considerable work with profit in pennies. All publishers have routine problems with mail — wrong zips, illegible handwriting, insufficient information, etc. But, in addition, we are the victims of saboteurs and enemy agents who resort to every foul trick in the book in an effort to put us out of business. We receive, for instance, all sorts of MISinformation on our "address corrections" from Post Offices all over the United States, for which we pay 10¢ each. Many times this MISinformation is not an accident! Then we have the "patriots" who write or wire for a rush order to be sent, C.O.D., airmail or special delivery, only to be returned marked "no such address." Some "nice" people send used toilet paper or similar filth through the mail—postage due, of course. One wise guy from California sent us two bricks carefully wrapped, airmail, special delivery — no stamps. It cost us \$7.67 to find out. Then there's the joker who had thousands of pieces of mail sent to hundreds of companies who ostensibly had offices at Common Sense (all non-existent). Packages not ordered were delivered; many salesmen called only to learn this was someone's idea of a joke!

We have learned to live with petty nuisances and the trials that daily plague

us — they are all part of the game. But what hurts us most is that good people very often must suffer because of this outside interference and sometimes there are serious delays over which we have no control, not to mention the loss in revenue. You can be sure of one thing: We appreciate our readers and we want to serve them efficiently. Subscriptions and orders have top priority with us. Unfortunately many letters remain unanswered due to lack of necessary help. However, we ask your forbearance and understanding in case something goes wrong with your orders or subscriptions. The fault is not always ours. The one way you can help in such matters is by writing us fully and legibly and always remember: "NO MATTER WHERE THE FAULT LIES, WE ARE ALWAYS WILLING TO MAKE AMENDS FOR PAPERS OR BOOKS NOT RECEIVED IF IT IS POSSIBLE FOR US TO DO SO."

Our problems and harassments are not limited to the mail area alone. The enemy has carefully, designedly and systematically circulated a barrage of vicious smears and lies calculated to reflect on our integrity or hurt us financially.

Pressured by the ADL, the N.J. State Legislature condemns us as a "hate sheet" just about every other year. The same source was behind the unsuccessful attempt two years ago to force the passage of legislation that would have barred Common Sense from the mails.

The ADL never fails to circulate the lie that we were condemned by the House Committee for Un-American Activities and the American Legion. They also spread the rumor that we are a "communist" publication, then start up some leftwing labor or college rag which is called "Common Sense." Then the local newspapers carry sizeable write-ups about the new "Common Sense." About a half dozen of these "Common Senses" spring up every year to deliberately confuse Mr. and Mrs. John Q. Citizen. Strange how the news media give COMMON

SENSE the silent treatment but when some leftwing rag uses our copyrighted name, the mass media can find space to publicize it!

Giving us the silent treatment does not stop the controlled TV and radio from periodically announcing that we are out of business or making some other ridiculous statement. We are entirely unaware of such things until readers write and ask us . . . "WHAT HAPPENED?"

We consider every effort to put us out of business a boost. We know we are doing a job that no one in the country is doing. We know we are hurting the enemy by our exposures. This is indicated by the many efforts the enemy has engineered to drain our strength and funds, and occupy our time. Nevertheless we look forward to the year 1969 with hope and confidence.

Our headquarters here in Union, N.J. has ample room for expansion, our overhead expenses are modest as anyone who visits our headquarters can see. Our staff is sadly underpaid by present-day standards. We have only the barest necessities in equipment, but we urgently need replacements for some of our obsolete or broken down equipment in order to work more effectively.

We dislike making constant appeals for funds, but even to merely stay in business takes money, especially with current day prices and exorbitant postage rates. In order to do a really effective job, we should be subsidized as we carry no advertising, but since this is not likely, we are forced to appeal occasionally to our dedicated army of readers to help us meet expenses. If each gives according to his ability — in prayer, in moral support, in funds, — and the Lord blesses our efforts, we may yet be instrumental in turning the tide to save our nation and Christianity. Do your best not only now but throughout the year.

May the Infant Savior whose birth we celebrate bless and protect you each day of the year.

THE STAFF.

CHRIST VERSUS JEWISH TALMUD

By STEPHEN LANGTON

Today, we are told by so many of the "Christian" Churches that to be "anti-Semitic" is to be un-Christian.

Certainly if we are to regard the only true Semites as being the Arabs, it is correct to state that Christian-Arab animosity is no longer a real force in the world—and only the modern Israelis and their Zionist sympathizers seem to be deeply and inherently anti-Semitic.

However, a closer examination of the relationship of Christ and those who since His day have called themselves Jews is worthy of curiosity, if only because this relationship is glossed over by these same Christian churches which today raise the modern Jew before us as though they were indeed the Chosen Race.

Our Lord Jesus Christ made only one set of enemies during His sojourn on this earth, and these were the "sons of the Devil," to use His words as revealed in the Spirit to John the Revelator. These became His enemies because He openly spoke of the "blasphemy of them that say they are Jews, and are not, but are the synagogue of Satan."

Now most of us have met Jews who are polite, courteous and well-spoken, and it may seem wrong to put these words into print. But facts are facts, and while we may, if we choose, discount what we read, and refuse to be influenced by old hatreds, the simple facts are that Our Lord's only enemies were the Pharisees. These were Talmudists by adoption, and thus, in His eyes, "Twice the sons of hell." Even today there are two ancient Jewish sects, the Karaite and the Samaritans, now few in number, who still refuse to recognize the Pharisees and their

descendants as true Jews by blood or by doctrine.

If this attitude may be regarded as extreme, there is no denying the historical basis behind the claim of Christ, and the Samaritans, that the Pharisees were not true Jews in parentage or doctrine. In case my readers may wish to have more scholarly, modern confirmation of these views, may we quote here the Talmudic Professor Louis Finkelstein (who had the distinction of instructing the Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court, Earl Warren, in Talmudic Law) from his book "The Pharisees," published by the Jewish Publication Society in 1946:

"Pharisaism becomes Talmudism. Talmudism becomes Medieval Rabbinitism, and Medieval Rabbinitism becomes Modern Rabbinitism. But throughout these changes of name, inevitable adaptation of custom, and adjustment of Law, the spirit of the ancient Pharisees survives unaltered."

In short, Pharisaism, which was Babylonian, and considered un-Jewish and improper by Jesus and many of His contemporaries, is still the guiding spirit of modern "Judaism." Modern Jewry is therefore largely guided by ideas borrowed from and characteristic of that ancient Babylon, against which John and all the prophets spoke. This is borne out by Chief Rabbi Dr. J. H. Hertz in his foreword to the Soncino edition of the Babylonian Talmud published in 1935:

"The Talmud is the product of Palestine, the land of the Bible, and of Mesopotamia, the cradle of civilization. The beginnings of Talmudic literature date back to the time of the Babylonian exile . . . During that exile, Israel found itself . . . under its influ-

ence (the influence of Babylon) new religious institutions, such as the synagogue, came into existence."

So proud are the modern Babylonians of their ancient connection and inspiration from the mongrel culture of the Tigris and Euphrates valley, of ancient Babylon, that they openly call their Talmud the "Babylonian Talmud."

Christ's task on coming to earth seems historically to have been to expose the evil of the Babylonian, Pharisaic influences, and to reveal, in their place, a religion of love and mercy. While the Talmud teaches deceit and falsehood, conspiracy and murder, Christ taught kindness and goodness. The Pharisee, we have seen, He described as being the son of the devil, whose father "was a murderer from the beginning," who "abode not in the truth for there was no truth in him." Christ came to earth in the land of Palestine, because this was the very breeding ground and center of the Pharisaic evil which had subverted the preaching of the Gospels and replaced His word with Babylonian doctrine.

So it was that Jesus flayed the money-changers and drove them out of the temple. But the Pharisees were not to tolerate this opposition, and the demand for reform and revolt against alien Babylonian codes which Jesus taught. They plotted and brought about His death.

Pharisaism was not destroyed by Christ. Rather did the Pharisees murder Christ. But the battle went on, and the coming of Jesus was merely the opening round of a long struggle to preserve the true teachings of the Gospels against Babylonian influence and to lead mankind into the path of righteousness and abhorrence of all evil.

Why L.B.J. Was Fired

LBJ, bless his heart, is now back at the Rancho, deep in the heart of Texas. He was fired out of the White House like a recalcitrant office boy. After 1968, we can no longer believe that the Presidency really holds the key to power in this country. Few people doubt that LBJ wanted another four-year lease on the White House, but there was that monkey business with McCarthy and Bobby Kennedy. The Establishment made things so hot for LBJ that he had to get out.

Why did the Establishment fire LBJ?

What did he do to offend them? Nothing, absolutely nothing. He did their bidding all the way. He carried on the Vietnam sham war exactly as they wanted it carried on. He went along with the scheme to interbreed whites and Negroes. He did everything the Establishment demanded. Then why did they turn against him?

We must understand the function of the Goy politician in this country today. His business is to be a "Front Man" for the Jews, who rule over us as arrogantly as Turkish Sultans ever ruled over slaves. When Jewish policies prove enormously unpopular, as they have in the last four years, the Goy politician must take the beating. He must be the whipping boy for the Jews. The phony turmoil of an election campaign serves as a smoke screen for the real exercise of power. Some day perhaps there will be a Jewish "Grand Panjandrum" who will rule over us openly, but as of now they prefer to exercise their power through Goy Front Men. These Goyim are expendable. The Establishment really had nothing against LBJ; they merely expended him when their policies proved unpopular. The American majority does not like any part of what is going on in this country today. Their submission can be obtained only by keeping them confused. They buy homes in a good neighborhood and pay for same with hard-earned money. They make sure that their children will have good schools. Then what happens? Their children are bused to some distant, crime-ridden slum, where they will be robbed of their lunch money, initiated into vice, and taught very little. Naturally, people do not like this. It is forced upon them by a concealed power-mechanism which they do not understand. Their hostility is aimed at various public figures, whose chief function is to absorb anger which should be leveled against the Jews. By 1968, LBJ had absorbed as much resentment as he was capable of absorbing, so he had to be replaced. The Goy front man is expendable and sometimes he has to be expended.

Which Goy politicians really amount to anything? None of them. Some are called "Great Liberal" and some are called "Great Conservatives." In fact, they are nothing but great gasbags. They know their function, which is to front for the Jews.

The U.S.A. may possibly still be here in 1972. If so, we will likely have another Presidential election at that time. We have long dreamed of having an AMERICAN in the White House, a man who will be a leader of the American People, and not a mere front man for the Jews.

Perhaps this dream will never be realized. Perhaps Whittaker Chambers was right when he declared that we are living in a doomed and defeated nation. If this is so, then who is to blame?

We blame the phony "Right Wing" more than anybody else. We have listened to their gasbagging for many a long year. The sad fact is that the Right Wing is in the same business as the Left Wing. It is a front for the Jews. We have often witnessed

LYNDON B. JOHNSON



LBJ, who packed his administration with Zionist traitors, was hurled into political oblivion by the same Zionists when he served his purpose.

the "Great Conservative" in action. He prances and snorts. He roars like a lion. He tells us what a great "anti-Communist" he is. Then one day we hear that he has appeared before a meeting of B'nai B'rith and made a speech in which he says that we must support Israel against the Arabs. Sadly we remove his picture from our wall and put it into the wastebasket.

America will not survive under an inept, corrupt, vicious and decadent ruling class. We think the country probably will still be here in 1972, but we would not want to extend our speculations much beyond that. Our current Middle East policy is enough to guarantee the removal of this nation from the world map. Along the road we are traveling, the way is all downhill. The Jews have the power; the Goy politicians take the rap.

Considering the speed with which conditions are worsening at home and abroad, LBJ was probably lucky enough to get out of the White House now. Nixon may wish that he had never gotten into it. Being front man for the Jews can be a rugged occupation.

Read and Be Informed

MYSTICAL BODY OF CHRIST IN THE MODERN WORLD: A masterful theological, philosophical and historical treatise of the modern revolt against the Divine Plan for the organization of human society. This covers the overall picture and all the underlying factors. It gives the reader a thorough knowledge of the nature and extent of the menace we face, the organization of the forces behind it and the diabolical hatred of Christianity and everything supernatural with which these forces are imbued. Father Fahey's greatest work . . . Price \$4.00.

Common Sense.

UNION, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A.

	U. S.	U. S.
	CANADA	CANADA
	1ST CLASS	2ND CLASS
1 year	\$5.00	\$3.00
2 years	8.00	5.00
3 years	10.00	7.00
Lifetime	—	50.00
Foreign 1st Class — \$10.00 per year		
BULK COPIES — SAME or ASSORTED		
20 copies		\$1.00
100 copies		4.00
500 copies		15.00
1000 copies		20.00

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by

CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN.
530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J. 07083

Let's Integrate Israel

The American Jews are always whooping it up for integration. The so-called Negro Revolution is really a Jewish Revolution. It has been financed with Jewish money, backed by Jewish publishers, stage-managed by Jewish intellectuals. The Jewish magazine COMMENTARY says that racial intermarriage is really the only solution to the racial problem in America. They are definitely planning a coffee-colored population which will be different from either the Negro or the white races as they are now composed.

We wish that the Jews would practice what they preach. Israel is a rigidly segregated, reactionary, racist state. Jews and Arabs are not integrated. Segregation is extremely rigid. We suggest that Arab children ought to be bused to Jewish schools and encouraged to intermarry with Jewish youngsters. Obviously the Arab children are not receiving equal education, when they have to attend segregated schools. The Jews have practiced considerable discrimination against the Arabs, such as seizing their homes and land and driving them into squalid refugee camps. The American Jew piously tells us that we must free ourselves of racial prejudice; the Jew himself is an inveterate and indefatigable race-hater.

FOR MANDATORY RACE-MIXING



Linowitz



Yarmolinsky

Sol Linowitz, Board Chairman of anti-American Zerox Corp., which produced violent anti-white TV film "Black America." Adam Yarmolinsky Deputy Asst. Sec. of Defense for International Affairs, who once stated in the face of black and white objections: "I don't give a damn whether they want to be integrated or not, they're going to be integrated."

COMMON SENSE is not a hate paper. We do not hate Negroes. We simply do not believe that Jew-sponsored integration is building good or substantially workable race relations. Unlike the Jews, we do not use Negroes as cat's paws for games of our own.

We would like to see the Arabs in Israel demand integration. They should have the right to attend any school in Israel. Perhaps the schools won't do them much good; on the other hand, they might learn how to get rich in the cloak and suit industry or the loan-shark racket. The Arabs are underprivileged people. The American Jews are always shedding crocodile tears for the "poor." How about the poor Arabs? The Arab should have the right to enter any business, work at any occupation, stay at any hotel, marry any Jewish girl. Israel should be 100% integrated. If the Jews are in favor of integration in America, why not in Israel?

Because the Jew is a racist, a race-hater, a race-discriminator. When the Jew has interbred the other races to a nice chocolate brown, the Jew will be the only white man left. He will be "Ole Massa" over the world plantation, and rule the world's darkies, whose culture he will have destroyed in the process of interbreeding them. Israel is the most blatant racist state in the world. The Arab in Israel is a second-class citizen. He lives in a ghetto; he suffers from segregated education; he is denied equal employment opportunities. This problem ought to be taken before the U.N. right away. The Arabs should demand integration and equal rights in Israel.

A HAPPY NEW YEAR TO ALL... May It Be a Fruitful 1969.....